**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN**

**TUESDAY, THE 6TH MARCH 2007 / 15TH PHALGUNA 1928**

**OP.No. 22044 of 1998(Y)**

---

**PETITIONERS:**

---

**G.RAJU, CHARGEMAN – I,**
**OIL TANKER BERTH, WATER PUMP HOUSE,**
**COCHIN PORT TRUST.**

**2. A.U.MURUGESAN, CHARGEMAN – I,**
**CIVIL CENTRAL ZONE**
**COCHIN PORT TRUST.**

**BY ADV. SRI.N.N.SUGUNAPALAN (SR.)**
**SRI.G.BALAKRISHNAN**

**RESPONDENTS:**

---

**1. THE COCHIN PORT TRUST**
**REP.BY ITS SECRETARY, COCHIN.**

**2. THE CHAIRMAN, COCHIN PORT TRUST, COCHIN.**

**3. SRI.P.N.CHANDRAN, ASSISTANT FOREMAN,**
**CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, MATTANCHERRY**
**WHARF CIVIL SECTION COCHIN PORT TRUST.**

**4. THE UNION OF INDIA, REP.BY THE SECRETARY,**
**MINISTRY OF SURFACE, TRANSPORT, NEW DELHI.**

**BY ADV. SRI.B.S.KRISHNAN(SR.),SC,PORT TRUST**
**SRI.S.KRISHNAMOORTHY**
**SRI.M.L.SURESH KUMAR, ADDL.CGSC**
**SRI.K.ANAND (A.201)**

**THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 06/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**ORDER ON CMP. NO.38820/1998 IN OP. NO.222044/1998**

**DISMISSED**

**06.03.2007** **SD/- S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS**

**EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE RECRUITMENT RULES.**

**EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE ORDER NO.A8-2215/CC/98/C DT.12.8.98 OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT,**

**EXT.P3:- COPY OF THE RECORD NOTE OF THE MEETING**

**EXT.P4:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.3.8.98 OF 2ND PETITIONER.**

**EXT.P5:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.5.8.98 OF 2ND PETITIONER.**

**EXT.P6:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.31.8.98 OF 2ND PETITIONER.**

**EXT.P7:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.6.10.98 OF THE 1ST PETITIONER.**

**EXT.R1(a):- COPY OF THE RECRUITMENT RULE .**

**/TRUE COPY/**

**tss**

**S. SIRI JAGAN, J.**

**----------**

**O.P.NO.22044 OF 1998**

**----------**

**DATED THIS THE 6th DAY OF MARCH, 2007**

**JUDGMENT**

In this original petition, the petitioners are challenging the promotion given to the 3rd respondent in preference to the petitioners.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the 1st respondent-Cochin Port Trust submits that the 3rd respondent has already retired from service and that the 2nd petitioner had already been promoted. In the wake of the above submission, the petitioners restricted their prayer for a direction to the 2nd respondent Chairman to dispose of Exts.P4 to P7 representations filed by the petitioners.

Having considered the rival arguments, I dispose of this original petition directing the 2nd respondent – Chairman to consider and pass orders on Ext.P4 to P7 representations filed by the petitioners as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment

**S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE**

Acd